G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1921-22

(Misc)

File No. 126-21

Serial Nos. 1—9

Later references.

5-27-5.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—2

Part B for Sept 21

SUBJECT.

Memorial from Balappa Devare Agent of Gadag

1367 R.G. 9.21

To

The Agent of Gadag Matt at Dharwar

States that the decisions of Govt conveyed in the endorsement granted to him are final in the matter

WD 1868—GPB—16,626—28-7-21

W. B. Rangappa

1921.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mez)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HEADING.

Memorial from Sa Basappa Devaru, Agent of Sachu Natt, Mysore.

From

The Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja.

No. 3297/35 Dated 27/11/21 Received 6/12/21

Diary No. 1347/4841	Enclos —	Spare Copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal memorials from Basappa Devaru complaining that there has been a failure of justice and praying that his case may be heard by the Dewan and Members of Council.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Mr. orders passed.

The case relates to [illegible] of Hadinaru village, Sanjeevi Taluq, entrusted to Sachu Natt, Mysore.

The memorialist contends that the

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jmg File No. 126 of 19 21

Serial No.

whole S. no. 273 was mam[ ] the matt, while his opponents Sirdar Pillabasanaraj, and certain others - stated that they were kaiam tenants, and that the matt was entitled to survey assessment thereon. After hearing the contending parties the case was remanded to the Dy. Comr for taking such additional evidence as may be tendered by the parties

G.O. no 2955 dy 12.6.916 A.

On receipt of the Dy. Comrs report with record, the contending parties were again heard by the present 1st member, counsels and orders were dismissed on 1-4-21, holding that inda Sirdar has no more than the kaiam rights by virtue of their immemorial enjoyment &c. and that the pets as such are only entitled to the revenue derivable from the land, and has no power to eject them at will. he revision-application

Order dy

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jms File No. 126 of 1921

Serial No.

Basappa Devaru has already rejected in S.C. No. 1 of 1918 after giving him the benefit of a hearing.

The Dharmapura Memorialists, H. H. the Maharaja had on a perusal of the records connected with the case, His Highness declined to interfere in the matter, and as such was proceeding,

He has again memorialized His Highness the Maharaja bitterly complaining that he has been a victim of injustice in praying that his case may be heard by the Devaru Cutcherry members & his Counsel's duly urgent papers submitted for notice.

RR 19/8/21 RR 19.8.21

There are no reasons for a re-consideration of the orders already passed.

Fresh Member) RJ 19/8/21.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Imp. File No. 126 of 1921.

Serial No.

1221-101

2

Sgdn. File read as noted below. The important point made in the present Memorial have to be taken whatsoever Mr. Aramana Reyengen was, no doubt Reyengen Reddy at the time. But the case was heard by me in open court & orders passed by me. He had nothing to do with it Petitioner was present when the case was heard. Since all constitutional methods have proved ineffective the Petitioner has now had recourse to making important & personal nature!

Dewan 22/8/21

Dewan 22.8.21

Received 23 AUG 1921 1206

Dewan 22/8/21

Agreed

29-8-21

First Member 30/8/21

1588-8

1.9.21

As the Memorial is addressed to His Excellency an early disposal is desirable. The petitioner may be informed that the orders already passed are final in nature.

Dewan 3.9.21

Draft 3/9/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 126 of 1921

Serial No.	
	Off. endt Submits.
	No 6.9.1921. RE 6/9/21
	Issue 7/9/21
2	To, The Asstt of Gatchi Matt at Myn — No. 1367 dy. 9.8.21 Invites his attention to the endorsement issued to him previously stating that the orders & decisions of Govt conveyed therein are final in the matter.
3/	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



C No. 57

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 12 7 21 *192*

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ...~~Department~~, Bangalore, ~~for~~

Annual
12/7/21

No. of

By Order,

Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GBPM—1,000—80-4-18

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

05947

No C 327

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

DIARY No 484

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 11th August *192*

GEN & REV. SECTS
12 AUG 1927

1924 1922

13/8/27

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... Department, Bangalore, for

No. 123 of 1922

By Order,

Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GBPM—1,000—30-4-18

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
123
11 AUG 1921
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

$$\frac{\text{[illegible]}}{+}$$

0412

No. 35
Section

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Bangalore 192/

Regd DIARY No. 13-7



Dno 120
R.
6.7.21

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ...Department, Bangalore for

No. of -/- -

Subj
The petr asks for the restoration of a Devadaya Inam land which has been alienated & quotes a previous petn of his presented to H.H. on 17 9 19 which has not been received here - May this reference be transferred to the Mysore Secty?

By Order,

Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GBPM—1,000—5-4-20.

6/7
To Mysore Secy

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
28 JUN. 1921
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

2

(Copy.)

Mere length of time does not make a tenancy a permanent one. Possession for 50 years or more is not sufficient

17 Mysore Chief court reports P 57
9 P 147
16 Bombay I L R 647.

Devadaya Inam lands which are in the possession of third persons are liable to be resumed by Govt: and restored to the persons in office.

(Revenue manual page 619)

From the Sanad and the other facts in the case it is clear that lands themselves and not the right to collect Kandayam were granted to the original grantee for feeding travelers and the maintenance of the Mutt. Even if the previous Mutt authorities have granted rights of permanent tenancy the successor in office is not bound by the

Said alienations and the tenants to eviction and the lands should be in the possession of such successor.

This is the view of the Deputy Comm: there is no limitation for this.

See 36 Cal 1 L.R 1003.
38 " " 526 (Privy Council

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

Date of issue 9.3.21.

Signed by [illegible]

Section Jmw

File No. 126-21

Serial No. 2

No. 1367

To

[illegible]

over.